UNITED STATES PATENT.

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

TO SHADENDE	<i>7</i>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,793	05/31/2006	Andreas Habermann	HM-655PCT	4009
⁴⁰⁵⁷⁰ FRIEDRICH I	7590 07/20/2007 KUEFFNER		EXAM	INER
	N AVENUE, SUITE 910		SUHOL, I	OMITRY
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/550,793	HABERMANN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dmitry Suhol	3725
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	r election requirement. r.	Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/550,793

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for "the mill floor level".

Additionally it is not clear as to how many transmissions are being claimed.

Regarding claim 2, it is not clear as to what the phrase "on both sides" refers to.

In other words on both sides of what structure?

Regarding claim 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the adjustment drives".

Regarding claim 4, there is no antecedent basis for "the receivers".

Regarding claim 6, there is no antecedent basis for "the first shaft", and "the stationary spur gear".

Regarding claim 7, there is no antecedent basis for "the stationary deflector plates".

Regarding claim 8, there is no antecedent basis for "the second shaft".

The remainder of the action considers the claims as best understood.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,793

Art Unit: 3725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Malley '231 in view of Talbot '677. O'Malley discloses an edging mill containing all of the claimed elements including, vertical rolls (28) that may be adjusted relative each other (through screws 30) and are connected to a rotary drive (power source for shaft 39) and stationary transmissions (elements 38, 37, 35, 33, 34, 41, etc) through cardan shafts (47). A continuous drive shaft as required by claim 2 is read onto shaft (39), while bevel gears are shown as gearing (37) and (38) and spur gear are shown as gears (34). Adjustment drives are shown as screws (30). Lacking any clear distinguishing features, deflector plates, are read onto plates (67). As best understood, a second shaft is read onto shaft (35). Limitations of claim 8 is shown in figure 1.

Talbot is relied upon to teach that providing a motor (147) below a mill floor level (figure 5) to power transmissions (115, 177 and 111, 118) is known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3725

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Suhol whose telephone number is 571-272-4430. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry Suhol/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

1U/55U/93 JC09 Rec'd PCT/PTO 22 SEP 2005

Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

10/550793 Serial No.: not known Docket No.: HM-655PCT Form PTO-1449' (PCT/EP04/02573) Applicant: Andreas Habermann, et al LIST OF PRIOR ART CITED BY APPLICANT Int. Filing Date: March 12, 2004 Group: 3725 U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Filing Date Exam Document if appropriate Number Class Subclass NAME Init. Date /DS/ AA 2 575 231 11/51 O'MALLEY AB AC AD ΑE FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Document TRANSLATION Class Subclass Yes No Number Date COUNTRY /DS/ AF 0 491 785 12/93 **EUROPEAN** x AG AH AK ALOTHER PRIOR ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN, VOL. 0090, NO. 03 (M-349), JANUARY 9, 1985 & /DS/ AR JP 59 156501 A (SUMITOMO JUKIKAI KOGYO KK), SEPTEMBER 5, 1984 AS AT 07/12/2007 /Dmitry Suhol/ (07/12/2007) DATE CONSIDERED: **EXAMINER:**

Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No.

10/550,793

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination HABERMANN ET AL.

Examiner

Dmitry Suhol

Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-2,124,677	07-1938	TALBOT HOWARD H	72/248
*	В	US-4,441,352	04-1984	McDonagh et al.	72/249
*	С	US-4,329,864	05-1982	Ledebur, Harry C.	72/239
*	D	US-2,513,058	06-1950	O'MALLEY JOSEPH M; et. al.	72/249
*	Ε	US-1,880,468	10-1932	NYE RALPH D	72/249
	F	US-			·
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	>	
	W	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

